1 The Charter Review Commission of the City of Seabrook met on Tuesday, August 6, 2019 at

2 5:00 p.m. in Seabrook City Hall, 1700 First Street, Seabrook, Texas to discuss, consider and if

3 appropriate, take action on the items listed below.

_		PRESENT	TTTTT
•	1111111		$\mathbf{W} \mapsto \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P}$
_)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 12 1 2 3 1 2 1 3 1	VV I 713 I 7

6	JOHN CHISLER	CHAIR
7	BRUCE DRESNER	MEMBER
8	MARCY FRYDAY	MEMBER
9	ROB HEFNER	VICE CHAIR
10	NANCY JONES	MEMBER
11	TERRY MOORE	MEMBER
12	RICHARD TOMLINSON	MEMBER

13 GAYLE COOK CITY MANAGER

14 SEAN LANDIS DEPUTY CITY MANAGER

15 STEVE WEATHERED CITY ATTORNEY
16 ROBIN HICKS CITY SECRETARY

Chair, John Chisler, called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

1. PUBLIC COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

Robin Hicks, City Secretary, explained that because the City Manager, Deputy City Manager, City Attorney and City Secretary were to attend the special City Council meeting starting at 6:00 p.m., the Charter Review Commission meeting would need to be finished by 5:45 p.m.

Pete Braccio, 1868 Dolphin Drive, stated that he's been in Seabrook since 1976 and has served on various City Boards, including fourteen (14) years on City Council between term limits. Mr. Braccio further explained that the City Charter is the Bible of a home rule city and having a home rule charter gives the citizens and the City a lot of leeway in conducting city business. Mr. Braccio stated that he had three (3) items to address: Section 10.07(f), Section 6.06, and term limits for boards and commissions, specifically for the Seabrook Economic Development Corporation.

Mr. Braccio stated that at a prior Charter Review Commission meeting a motion was made to delete Section 10.07(f) from the Seabrook Charter, and Mr. Braccio's opinion is that deleting Section 10.07(f) is a major mistake. He suggested that the Charter Review Commission not touch this section until shown documentation by the City Attorney that home rule cities have lost the right to regulate franchises. The Charter approved by the voters in August of 1979 has various stipulations in it for right-of-way and property use by franchises. If those rights and stipulations are removed from the Charter, the City will have various poles in its rights-of-way. Other cities' charters have similar provisions for the right to control franchises.

 Mr. Braccio continued with comments on Section 6.06. He stated that if you are a home rule city and you are a developer, then you check the charter for limitations to development before you proceed. For Seabrook, the limitations are in Section 6.06. Mr. Braccio explained that the people of Seabrook voted to keep Section 6.06 in the Charter and they will not approve using general funds to put money in developers' pockets, so that the general fund would have to be replenished. Mr. Braccio stated that property taxes go into the general fund and raiding of the general fund is prevented through the Charter. There is no conflict between Chapter 380 of the Texas Local Government Code and this Charter.

Mr. Braccio's third point was that term limits are needed specifically for the Seabrook Economic Development Corporation in order to get newer members and new perspectives. Mr. Braccio explained that he was on Council when the Seabrook EDC was originated. He stated that the SEDC currently has at least one (1) member who has been on the board for 14 years, two members (2) for seven (7) years, two (2) members for six (6) years, and one member for two (2) years. Mr. Braccio proposed term limits that would allow for overlap of members, staggered terms, so that if potential volunteers see that there are going to be vacancies, then they might step forward. Mr. Braccio stated that the City should give citizens a crack at participating. The City hired a consultant to look at the makeup of the population and there are smart citizens and people that can serve on EDC.

2. NEW BUSINESS

Chair Chisler pointed out that this agenda is not in the regular order of business because New Business is before Old Business, so that because of the shortened meeting, a review of the previously discussed sections will not occur. Ms. Hicks explained that because the Commission did not complete the review of Article XI at the last meeting, she placed New Business first, in the hope that the Commission might finish Articles XI and XII, so that a discussion on previously reviewed articles would be a natural preview to a discussion on the final report. In addition, the Commission has sometimes not been able to get to the approval of the minutes, so placing the minutes item at the beginning of the agenda would be preferable.

Chair Chisler asked if the work of the Charter Review Commission must be completed by the end of August. Ms. Hicks stated that because the Charter Amendment Election would not be until May, 2020, she thought that the Commission's appointment could be extended, and she believed the end of the six month term was the end of September, and not the end of August. Ms. Hicks deferred to the City Attorney for an opinion on whether the Charter Review Commission term could be extended past the initial six month term. Steve Weathered, City Attorney, stated that the Charter Section appointing the Review Commission simply states that the term is six months, with no provision for an extension of time. The Charter Review Commission term is different from the terms for the Comprehensive Master Plan Review Commission because that section of the Charter allows for an extension of time.

Chair Chisler suggested that because there were unforeseen circumstances that prevented the Charter Review Commission from meeting in July, losing an entire month, the Charter Review Commission take an additional month to finish its work. Vice Chair, Rob Hefner, stated that if the

work of the Commission is not finished in the six-month time frame, then the Commission could work with the City Attorney on a process to go back to the City Council to ask for additional time on the appointments. Ms. Hicks agreed to double check and then notify the Commission on the date that would mark the end of the six month term.

Ms. Hicks stated that the Commission is not behind in their work, even though they did not meet during the month of July. Because there is only a portion of Article XI and because the sections in Article XII are historical in nature, and not conducive to amendment, the Commission should be able to complete the initial review of the Charter fairly quickly. In addition, because the Commission has voted to recommend a small number of amendments, Ms. Hicks volunteered to write the final report, so that Commission members will only spend time reviewing the report, not writing it.

Mr. Hefner suggested that the Commission go through Article XI tonight, so that Article XII and the previously discussed sections can be reviewed at the next meeting in August. The Commission members can decide at the end of the next meeting in August if they want to go to City Council for an extension on the appointment term. Ms. Hicks stated that there is a section in Article XI that might need a longer discussion, so she asked if the Commission would be agreeable to getting through the minutes approval and Article XII tonight.

Member Nancy Jones reminded the members that the Commission is not limited to only two (2) meetings per month. Ms. Hicks stated that staff is trying to not have the City Attorney travel to Seabrook more than necessary, and asked if the Commission would set additional meetings for the same nights as other public meetings that Mr. Weathered attends, such as the same night as EDC meetings.

2.1 Consider and take all appropriate action on the June 25, 2019 Charter Review Commission minutes.

Chair Chisler stated that there are a few items in the minutes that he would like to see discussed further, but the minutes document itself is accurate. Vice Chair Hefner agreed that there are a few items that he is not 100% comfortable with, but as a document he is comfortable with the minutes and the details with how information is presented to the public is something that can be covered at a later date.

Motion was made by Vice Chair Hefner and seconded by Member Moore

To approve the June 25, 2019 Charter Review Commission minutes, as presented.

MOTION CARRIED BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT

At this time, Chair Chisler suggested that the Commission adjourn, because the meeting needs to end by 5:45 p.m. Mr. Weathered countered that the review of Article XII would not take that long.

2.2 Review, discuss, and if appropriate, take action on Seabrook City Charter, Article XI, "General Provisions".

No discussion held on Article XI before the end of the meeting, and this item will be on the next Charter Review Commission agenda.

2.3 Review, discuss, and if appropriate, take action on Seabrook City Charter, Article XII, "Transitional Provisions".

Mr. Weathered stated that the title of Article XII, "Transitional Provisions", is misleading. Those provisions were for the first charter and its implementation and the word, "Historical" would be better, so that no one will think the sections apply now. It would still be a charter change to the voters. The change to "Historical" or "Historical Reference" would be better to clear up any confusion, so that in a courtroom situation, no one can argue that the city does not honor its charter, and then point to a section in Article XII.

Vice Chair Hefner ask if "historical reference" could be interpreted as a non-binding portion of the charter, and if so, would the article be needed at all. Mr. Weathered stated that he has seen historical provisions in many charters and the reason is that the cities that have converted from general law to home rule are proud of what they have done, and they want to leave a historical record of exactly what their intentions were at the time.

Member Fryday suggested "historical intentions".

Mr. Hefner stated that there is not anything that can be updated in Article XII because the sections are about what was done 30 years ago, 40 years ago. Considering that Article XII is primarily historical in nature, the question is whether it is properly titled, so that it is not confused in the future. If changed to "historical", it could be easily argued that it is irrelevant. What is the least opportunity for chaos?

Ms. Fryday asked if Article XII could be considered as an addendum to the Charter. Mr. Weathered said no.

Mr. Chisler said that people will ask what happened to Article XII, if it gets dropped. It is reference material more than anything else, not necessarily a historical record.

Member Dresner suggested a title of "Transitional Provisions, Circa April, 1980."

Mr. Hefner asked if there would be an opportunity in the future to add anything to or amend anything in Article XII so that "historical" would not apply. If the change was to "Historical Provisions" that might allow anything that gets struck from the Charter to move into Article XII and still make it obvious that the sections are not binding. Anything that we don't want to lose can be moved into Article XII for historical purposes.

Gayle Cook, City Manager, reminded the Commission that there have been many amendments made to the Charter before now, so if all of the sections that have been removed in the past were not included when adding the removed sections from this Charter Review, then Article XII would be inaccurate.

Mr. Hefner stated that there is value to holding on to decisions that were made when the City became a home rule city, and value to holding on to decisions that this Commission may make, and that the successor Commissions may make. Mr. Hefner proposed "Historical Provisions of the City of Seabrook", and leaving the sections in Article XII as is and allowing Article XII to capture anything deemed worthy of retaining.

Member Moore suggested "historical reference".

Motion was made by Vice Chair Hefner and seconded by Member Jones

To change the title of Article XII from "Transitional Provisions" to "Historical Reference", and leave all sections in Article XII as is.

MOTION CARRIED BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT

3. *OLD BUSINESS*

3.1 Consider and take all appropriate action on any additional review of previously examined Articles I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, and X as necessary.

No discussion was able to be held on previously examined Articles I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, and X before the end of the meeting, and this item will be moved to the next Charter Review Commission agenda.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Discussion, and if appropriate, action on the 2019/2020 Charter Review Commission Final
 Report.

No discussion was able to be held on the 2019/2020 Charter Review Commission Final Report before the end of the meeting, and this item will be moved to the next Charter Review Commission agenda.

5. ROUTINE BUSINESS

5.1 Establish future meeting dates and agenda items.

The next meeting of the Charter Review Commission will be held at 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, August 20, 2019.

Mr. Hefner stated that the minutes approval, Article XI, and previously reviewed articles will be on the August 20 meeting agenda, and asked if the Commission should specifically discuss the sections mentioned during Public Comments at this meeting. Mr. Weathered asked that the Commission members notify the City Secretary of any sections that they wish to review and discuss, so that Mr. Weathered can be prepared before the next meeting. All business having been completed, Chair Chisler adjourned the meeting at 5:47 p.m. Approved this 20th day of August, 2019. John Chisler Chair Robin Hicks, TRMC City Secretary